1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	
2	HOUSTON DIVISION	
3) CASE NO: 22-60020-cml
4	INFOW, LLC. and IWHealth,	,) Houston, Texas
5	LLC.,) Thursday, May 19, 2022
6	Debtors.) 2:01 p.m 2:28 p.m.
7		·)
8		MOTION
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER M. LOPEZ	
10	UNITED ST	ATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
11	APPEARANCES:	
12	For U.S. Trustee:	JASON RUFF
13		HA NGUYEN MELISSA HAZELTON
14		U.S. Trustee 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20530
15	For Debtor:	KYUNG S. LEE
16	ror bestor.	MARK SCHWARTZ
17		Kyung S. Lee, PLLC 700 Milan Street, Suite 1300 Houston, TX 77002
18	For Connecticut Plaintif	·
19	for connecticut Plaintiil	RANDY WILLIAMS
20		Byman & Associates, PLLC 7924 Broadway, Suite 104
21		Pearland, TX 77581
22		RYAN CHAPPLE Cain & Skarnulis, PLLC
23		303 Colorado Street, Suite 2850 Austin, TX 78701
24		
25		

1	For Texas Plaintiff:	MAX BEATTY
2		The Beatty Law Firm 1127 Eldridge Parkway, Suite 300 Houston, TX 77077
3		AVI MOSHENBERG
4		McDowell Hetherington First City Tower
5		1001 Fannin Street, #2700 Houston, TX 77002
6	For Free Speech Systems:	
7		Law Offices of Ray Battaglia, PLLC 66 Granburg Circle San Antonio, TX 78218
8		San iniconto, in 70210
9	Court Reporter:	KIMBERLY PICOTA
10	Courtroom Deputy:	KIMBERLY PICOTA
11	Transcribed by:	Veritext Legal Solutions 330 Old Country Road, Suite 300
12		Mineola, NY 11501 Tel: 800-727-6396
13		1e1. 000-727-0390
14		
15	_	electronic sound recording; ranscription service.
16	Transcript produced by tr	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 HOUSTON, TEXAS; THURSDAY, MAY 19, 2022; 2:01 P.M.
- THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Good afternoon,
- 3 everyone. This is Judge Lopez here on an emergency request
- 4 for a continuance in Infowars. Why don't we take
- 5 appearances. Why don't I begin with appearances in the
- 6 courtroom.
- 7 Mr. Lee?
- MR. LEE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Kyung Lee
- 9 with the law firm of Kyung S. Lee PLLC for the three
- 10 debtors. I am here in the courtroom with Mr. Mark Schwartz,
- 11 the chief restructuring officer of the three debtors.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon, sir.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. Ruff, good afternoon.
- 15 MR. RUFF: Yes. Good afternoon, Your Honor. With
- 16 me today -- Jayson Ruff on behalf of the U.S. Trustee's
- 17 Office. And Ha Nguyen with me today.
- THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.
- MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Randy
- 20 Williams for the Connecticut plaintiffs. Ryan Chapple and
- 21 Connecticut counsel are all on the Zoom.
- THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.
- MR. BEATTY: Your Honor, Max Beatty on behalf of
- 24 the Texas plaintiffs. I also have Mr. Avi Moshenberg with
- 25 me here today.

- 1 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Good afternoon to
- 2 both of you.
- Okay. Anyone else in the courtroom wish to make
- 4 an appearance? Okay.
- 5 Ms. Hazelton, I see you there, the Subchapter V
- 6 trustee. Good afternoon. I think -- oh, I muted you,
- 7 didn't I? I've got everyone muted. So if anyone wishes to
- 8 make an appearance, you can hit five-start. Ms. Hazelton,
- 9 just hit it just so I know that -- and I'll keep your line
- 10 unmuted. All right. There is a 210-601 number.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Yes, Your Honor. This is Ray
- 12 Battaglia on behalf of Free Speech Systems. I don't know
- that I will be participating today, but I will make an
- 14 appearance.
- THE COURT: Okay. And I'll keep your line
- 16 unmuted, Mr. Battaglia, just in case. Good afternoon, sir.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Thank you, Judge.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Hazelton, can you hit five-
- 19 star? I want to make sure I've got your line unmuted as
- 20 well. There's a 281-846 number.
- MS. HAZELTON: Your Honor, Melissa Hazelton,
- 22 Subchapter V Trustee.
- THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon. Does anyone
- else wish to make an appearance on the phone? Just hit
- 25 five-star and I will recognize you. Okay.

- 1 Mr. Lee, why don't I turn it over to you, sir.
- MR. LEE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. May it
- 3 please the Court. Before I hit emergency motion Docket 95
- 4 requesting the continuances of the hearing for next week as
- 5 well as the various answer dates, I would like to address
- 6 the Court with respect to some of the procedural issues that
- 7 are taking place --
- 8 THE COURT: Okay.
- 9 MR. LEE: -- and update you on those. Number one,
- 10 the initial debtor interview is taking place tomorrow
- 11 afternoon. And Mr. Schwartz and I will be handling that
- 12 with the U.S. Trustee's Office. The data has been provided
- 13 to them yesterday, and we will handle that hearing or the
- 14 call tomorrow.
- Number two, Mr. Beatty and I concluded
- 16 negotiations and finalization of the dismissals with
- 17 prejudice of the Texas plaintiff's lawsuits yesterday, and
- 18 Mr. Beatty will probably want to speak to you about those
- 19 issues today. But that is done.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. LEE: And so wanted you to know that. And I
- 22 believe the stipulation, the general one has been filed.
- 23 There are subsidiary stipulations which you also agreed to,
- and he can speak to those issues if you'd like to have him
- 25 discuss those.

- 1 THE COURT: Okay.
- 2 MR. LEE: Number Three. The Connecticut
- 3 plaintiffs have decided to move by way of motion to dismiss
- 4 their lawsuits in Connecticut against the three debtors.
- 5 And there is a status conference that Judge Manning has set
- for next Tuesday at 2:00 p.m. Eastern Standard time, and
- 7 there is going to be a conference call on that matter for
- 8 that time.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay.
- 10 MR. LEE: So I can tell you a little bit more
- 11 about that after it's occurred, but I just wanted to let you
- 12 know the timing on that.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you.
- MR. LEE: Number four. The 341 meeting of
- 15 creditors will take place next Thursday, on May 26th. And
- 16 Mr. Ruff and I have talked about what we need to get ready
- 17 to do that.
- 18 Number five. I just got a notice during lunch
- 19 today that the Western District of Texas has set a hearing
- 20 on June 21-22 in connection with the removal and abstention
- 21 and remand hearing on the "TUFTA" lawsuit that some of the
- 22 plaintiffs filed against the debtors and other parties. And
- 23 again, I think that can be handled administratively, but I
- just wanted you to know that matter is out there for setting
- 25 at that time.

```
1
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 2
               MR. LEE: Now, addressing Docket 95. And I don't
 3
     think I need to go into it in any substantive detail. But I
 4
     just wanted to let you know that what we moved to do was to
 5
     extend the answer date from May 20th to June 17th, the
 6
     exhibit designation date from May 25th to June 22nd, and we
 7
     requested a hearing date from May 27th through June 24th and
 8
     to have discovery be available to especially Mr. Ruff, who
 9
     has been working with -- on behalf of the U.S. Trustee's
10
     Office so that he could have discovery pending.
11
               It is contemplated that after this week, there
12
     should be only one motion to dismiss or on file that should
13
     be active, hopefully. But again, the implementation of the
14
     dismissals with prejudice has taken a little longer time
15
     than I expected. And again, I built in when I filed my
16
     emergency motion time to be able to carefully implement all
17
     of those. And again, that's the reason for the time that
18
     I've requested so that I don't have to prepare for the
19
     motions to dismiss as well as trying to get all those things
20
     correctly done.
21
               I'm happy to work and do both if I'm forced to do
22
     that. But as I set out in my motion, I think it's not a
23
     good use of judicial or financial resources of this estate
```

Mr. Ruff has indicated that he wants a shorter

to do that. And that's why I've asked for some time.

24

- 1 time. And I've tried to discuss that with him this morning.
- 2 And it's all been very friendly. And so again, I am
- 3 amenable to another deadline, a shorter deadline if they
- 4 wish. But again, the reasons for the requests I've made for
- 5 my deadlines is because I anticipate having to implement all
- 6 the dismissals. And I want to make sure I have done it
- 7 correctly and that I've given the other courts other than
- 8 the home court here time to get those things done. And
- 9 that's the basis for my asking for the specific dates I
- 10 have.
- 11 Again, I am also amenable to the idea of having a
- 12 shorter period but having -- an intermediate status
- 13 conference would be like every Friday where we can check in
- 14 and say, Your Honor, we need more time or we're good with
- 15 the time schedule that we have. So we are very flexible on
- 16 that. And again, Mr. Schwartz and I are going to evaluate
- 17 whether after the dismissals would prejudice the Texas and
- 18 Connecticut plaintiffs, whether these debtors need to
- 19 proceed with trying to confirm a plan with an amended plan
- 20 support agreement or whether we are able to handle these
- 21 creditors, the remaining creditors, outside of bankruptcy.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. LEE: So that's an evaluation we are going to
- 24 make. And we hope to do that very quickly.
- THE COURT: Thank you. Actually, sir, is it okay

- 1 if I hear from Mr. Beatty first?
- 2 MR. RUFF: That would be just fine, Your Honor.
- 3 It's your court.
- 4 THE COURT: No, no, no. I'm just -- I saw the
- 5 stipulation. I just wanted to hear from you, Mr. Beatty,
- 6 just confirmation. Does the stip that is on file cover what
- 7 we would call the Texas litigation?
- MR. BEATTY: Yes, Your Honor, it does. And Mr.
- 9 Lee referred to some other stipulations that I would call
- 10 them supporting stipulations. They're just simplified to
- 11 make it easy for the Court in each of the Western District
- 12 cases. So we do have this larger stipulation that we filed
- with the Bankruptcy Court, but Mr. Lee and I have already
- 14 passed back and forth, agreed, and actually put on file the
- 15 Rule 41 stipulations of dismissal with the court for the
- 16 Western District of Texas. One of the cases. The TUFTA
- 17 case is a little bit different in the following sense.
- 18 There's a couple of procedural differences there dealing
- 19 with whether or not the parties were served and whether or
- 20 not the removal was done correctly. There we have actually,
- 21 due to concurrent jurisdiction with both the Western
- 22 District of Texas as well as the underlying state court, we
- have filed a non-suit in the state court with prejudice
- there. So we think we've implemented the initial steps on
- 25 whatever we have to do for those to get everything

- 1 dismissed. It's really the remand that Mr. Lee referred to
- 2 it as taking some amount of time there. We did file
- 3 yesterday additional motions for remand noting our
- 4 agreement. Hopefully those things can be resolved rather
- 5 quickly.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. I guys the question is are you
- 7 -- maybe I -- Mr. Ruff, Ms. Hazelton, is there any issue
- 8 with me signing this right now?
- 9 MR. RUFF: Your Honor, the stipulation that was
- 10 filed?
- 11 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
- MR. RUFF: No issue from the U.S. Trustee's Office
- 13 perspective.
- 14 THE COURT: Ms. Hazelton?
- 15 MS. HAZELTON: No issues here, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Anyone in the room? Anyone have any
- 17 issues? Okay. I'm going to sign it now.
- MR. BEATTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: The parties are in agreement with
- 20 that. I told everybody I wasn't going to stand in the way
- of an agreement. I have read it. It's the parties'
- 22 agreement. I'll sign it. I'll sign it right now. It at
- least allows the parties the clarity I think that they need,
- 24 and I think it will certainly -- something you can present
- 25 to the courts in the Western District of Texas. And I think

- 1 it at least clears a little bit of the road that Mr. Lee was
- 2 referring to in terms of what may be coming for him at a
- 3 future point in time.
- 4 MR. BEATTY: And that was the intent, Your Honor.
- 5 And what we had hoped to do is I was actually going to ask
- 6 you to sign it today because our intent is to in addition to
- 7 the stipulation that we filed already, to take this
- 8 stipulation with the Court's signature, file it in the
- 9 Western District of Texas so that there the court can be
- 10 comfortable that you had the opportunity to look at it as
- 11 the home court and that you're also comfortable with what we
- 12 are doing.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. I have signed it. It is off to
- docketing and it will hit the docket in the next ten, 15
- 15 minutes, Mr. Beatty.
- 16 MR. BEATTY: Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Beatty, I
- 17 don't know what that means for your participating going
- 18 forward, but I would say in a few minutes you'll have
- 19 greater clarity on that as well.
- MR. BEATTY: Well, I think the answer is that
- 21 means you won't get to see my smiling face here anymore.
- But yes, thank you very much, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Ruff, I just
- 24 wanted to -- actually, go ahead. Go ahead. Let me hear
- 25 from the Connecticut plaintiffs.

```
1
               Let me just say I was able to read the pleadings
 2
     that were filed. I very much appreciate the notice. Was
 3
     very helpful for me to just kind of keep track of what's
 4
     going on. So I thought the updates were very helpful, and I
 5
     very much appreciate it. I'm not going to comment obviously
 6
     on what's going to happen in Connecticut. But I just would
 7
     ask if Judge Manning issues any rulings or anything
 8
     substantive happens, if someone can just provide an update
 9
     just like you did, I think it would be very helpful for me
10
     if she enters something. I don't need any commentary or
     anything. I just need more of a docket entry just to
11
12
     understand if anything happened or if there is any further
13
     hearing schedule. It just helps me kind of keep track of
14
     stuff.
15
               MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Your Honor. I just wanted to
     make the announcement on behalf of the Connecticut
16
17
     plaintiffs that as to the Debtor's emergency motion to
18
     continue, we really believe those issues are now between
19
     them and the U.S. Trustee as far as timing because we had
20
     filed the motions to dismiss with prejudice. That was put
21
     in the notice.
22
               THE COURT: Got it.
23
               MR. WILLIAMS: The Court has now set that for
24
     status conference on Tuesday. We're going to ask the Court
```

since there is no opposition to that, to enter the

25

- 1 dismissal. And then once it's dismissed, the Debtors have
- 2 agreed they will no longer have objection to remand and that
- 3 the Court then pick that up and remand the cases. So
- 4 hopefully by next Tuesday we will -- after that's concluded
- 5 and if that happened, then we would be filing our notice of
- 6 withdrawal of our motion to dismiss. But until we hear from
- 7 the Connecticut court, we're not able to do that. But we
- 8 will -- to the extent we are still in it, because we are
- 9 waiting on that, whatever the Court decides between the
- 10 Debtors and the U.S. Trustee as far as timing, we are not
- 11 going to impose on that. We'll follow the Court's guidance
- 12 with what their argument are and timing.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
- MR. RUFF: Again, Your Honor. Thank you. You
- 15 know what, the U.S. Trustee's Office, we appreciate and are
- 16 supportive of the Plaintiffs being able to resolve their
- 17 issues with these debtors and the way that they're doing
- 18 that. And we certainly are agreeable to pushing out the
- 19 hearing on our motion so that those issues can be resolved
- 20 definitively. That's fine.
- But, Your Honor, I still don't think that we
- really need more than a couple-week extension. And that
- 23 would be our preference. You know, we are happy to move
- forward as soon as possible. It seems, based on
- 25 representations that have been made by both Plaintiff's

1 counsel that Texas plaintiffs are out. They're withdrawn 2 now as of today. And it sounds like Connecticut, as soon as 3 they get their answer and the court up in Connecticut there, 4 that they will agree to withdraw as well, too. So if they 5 do that, then Mr. Lee no longer has to focus on their 6 motions to dismiss and he no longer has to worry about 7 those. It will just be ours. And we would like to move 8 forward with it as expeditiously as possible. After that. 9 The only other comment I would say is to the 10 extent that the Court does push that out, assuming these cases are dismissed, then we don't think that any of the 11 12 other pending motions applications should be heard until 13 that same date after that time. We have already provided 14 comments as far as the CRO motion assuming that these case 15 are going to go forward. So we've already started that 16 We're not just waiting until the very last moment 17 on that. To the extent that there are any substantive 18 issues, we can work those out and resolve those. 19 But, for example, it's a little unclear, and I 20 think Mr. Lee has to -- is working out with his client as 21 far as the -- there is no longer a litigation settlement 22 trust and there's no longer that mechanism of management by 23 the trustees I don't think, or the service managers for the 24 equity of these debtors that the CRO would report to. So I 25 think things like that need to be cleaned up if these cases

- 1 are to continue. If these cases aren't to continue, then
- 2 none of that is really necessary. The cases can get
- 3 dismissed. You know, the debtors can go ahead and pay their
- 4 creditors, including their professionals however they want
- 5 to. The only thing we probably would want to have some
- 6 treatment for in the dismissal order, again, assuming that's
- 7 the route that we end up toing, is to allow for Ms. Hazelton
- 8 to apply for her fees and make sure that this Court retains
- 9 jurisdiction for the payment of her fees.
- 10 THE COURT: So Mr. Ruff, are you agreeing to a
- 11 continuance or not?
- MR. RUFF: Yes. We are not opposed to a
- 13 continuance, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: And how long?
- 15 MR. RUFF: Two weeks. That's what we think would
- 16 be -- somewhere around June 10th is what we had proposed.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Mr. Beatty, your stip
- 18 has hit the docket.
- MR. BEATTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: I'm not kicking you out. I'm just
- 21 telling you.
- MR. BEATTY: As much as you'd like to.
- THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lee, why do you need more
- time than the 10th?
- MR. LEE: Your Honor, things tend to take a longer

- 1 time than we initially planned. I think it was four weeks
- 2 ago everybody declared that they did not want to be
- 3 creditors of this estate. And it started off with
- 4 dismissals without prejudice and having to negotiate all of
- 5 those to get it right. And it's not because we didn't want
- 6 to get it right or do it the right way, but it just takes
- 7 some time in light of the fact that the parties have been
- 8 litigating these issues and there's a lot of distrust. And
- 9 we've had to build that.
- 10 With respect to the implementation of the
- 11 dismissals, I don't think it's as simple as Mr. Ruff
- describes it. It's not just a matter of dismissals that
- takes place and then we're done. There is implementation
- 14 that has to take place both in Connecticut and in Texas.
- 15 And the Debtors are going to have to be involved in that
- 16 process and implementing that. So I need some time to do
- 17 that.
- 18 And secondly, there are claims that need to be
- 19 addressed in connection with the remaining estate that our
- 20 creditors have nothing to do with the Sandy Hook issues.
- 21 And then number three, Your Honor, the other part
- that I have to do is renegotiate a plan support agreement if
- 23 the debtors intend to move forward with respect to a smaller
- 24 subchapter V plan to see if we can get something confirmed.
- So I've built in some time to be able to do all of

- 1 that without having the -- sort of Damocles sword over me
- 2 every two weeks in saying I've got to go and try a motion to
- 3 dismiss. But if the Court thinks that that sword should be
- 4 over me, I'm fine with that, too.
- 5 THE COURT: I don't want to say it's a sword,
- 6 because I don't think it is. I think the Debtor filed a
- 7 case and people have filed motions, and they get a chance
- 8 for a hearing. And the Debtor has to respond to it. I'm
- 9 assuming the Debtor was prepared to go on the 27th. So if
- 10 we push it out for June the 10th, that feels right to me.
- 11 And I'm going to tell you why.
- I certainly understand the dynamics of change.
- MR. LEE: Yes, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: And I still don't how much -- look, I
- know according to the schedules and the statements, the
- 16 Debtor has no cash. I don't know where cash is coming from.
- 17 The Debtor doesn't generate income. I don't know who the --
- 18 if there's a trust, I don't know how administrative expenses
- 19 are going to get paid. And this is still a Subchapter V
- 20 case. We are about 30 days in. I think everybody is going
- 21 to have to put their cards on the table and figure out
- 22 what's there. And I think you and Mr. Schwartz are going to
- 23 have to figure out -- it sounds like Connecticut plaintiffs
- 24 are out, signed the order for the Texas plaintiffs. I think
- you all have got to figure out now that that's gone,

- 1 assuming that everything goes through -- and again, I don't
- 2 want to wish -- I don't want to speak for any other court
- 3 and the work that they have to do. But assuming that they
- 4 go, Mr. Lee, I think you're going to have to ask yourself
- 5 what's left and what kind of case and what chapter it should
- 6 proceed in. And I think the trustee gets a right to know
- 7 what your plans are. To me, that's where I see the
- 8 extension for. I think they've got to kind of figure out
- 9 what's left and how do you proceed. Is it still under
- 10 Subchapter V? Is it something else? And so I think you've
- 11 got to figure all that out. But I don't know -- pushing it
- out a month without knowing where there's any source of
- 13 revenue coming from and what the third-party contributors
- 14 are or are not doing, I think we've got to know some answers
- 15 before then to see what kind of case -- if we have a case at
- 16 all. And I'm not saying we don't. I just don't know what's
- 17 out there.
- 18 MR. LEE: Your Honor, let me allay your concerns.
- 19 THE COURT: I'm not sure you're going to be able
- 20 to do it today.
- 21 MR. LEE: I'm going to do it today for you, Your
- 22 Honor, by saying --
- THE COURT: That would be impressive.
- MR. LEE: You're actually right. I'm not going to
- argue with trying to get any more time. They've set it for

- 1 June 10th. We'll be ready. We'll work like dogs to get
- there. And if we don't, we'll come back to you and ask for
- 3 more time if we run into a jam. But I hear all the concerns
- 4 that you're saying. I think they are very legitimate as
- 5 Debtor's counsel and as you pronounced it. And let's get it
- on. Let's do it on June 10th. And let's just get it done.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay.
- 8 MR. LEE: I agree with you.
- 9 THE COURT: Mr. Lee, I've got one question for
- 10 you. Just since -- and this has nothing to do with what we
- 11 were just describing. It's just trying to understand -- I
- 12 understand kind of procedurally where the Texas Plaintiffs
- 13 are and the Connecticut plaintiffs.
- MR. LEE: Sure.
- 15 THE COURT: The trust. Is the initial trustee
- 16 still involved, Mr. Du?
- MR. LEE: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: And who represents Mr. Du?
- MR. LEE: I don't know right now. I just know
- 20 that Mr. Du is the trustee of the trust that was given the
- 21 obligation to put the company into bankruptcy.
- THE COURT: Okay. Okay. I know Mr. Du had
- 23 noticed parties in the trustee agreement, but I didn't know
- 24 if those remained the noticed parties for the trust or
- 25 whether Mr. Du was still involved. So Mr. Schmidt and Mr.

- 1 (indiscernible) are at this point not -- they're still
- 2 waiting?
- 3 MR. LEE: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. That
- 4 is correct. And I think by virtue of the Texas and
- 5 Connecticut plaintiff having withdrawn, I think the bigger
- 6 concept of trying to go global resolution, that's probably
- 7 become moot at this point in time.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay.
- 9 MR. LEE: So yes, Your Honor. And you have made
- 10 all the issues that you've identified. We are on it.
- 11 THE COURT: I know I'm not raising -- I'm
- just trying to -- we are all here. I might as well just try
- 13 to understand kind of procedurally where we are and kind of
- 14 where the case is. I think I -- look, I like where we are
- 15 going. The Trustee is not opposed to a two-week extension.
- 16 Let's do a two-week extension. And without prejudice,
- obviously, to the ability to come back to ask for more time.
- MR. LEE: Absolutely.
- 19 THE COURT: But I'm going to tell you though, if
- 20 we go further out, I'm going to need all parties to agree to
- 21 it. If not, we'll just have to take them up and see where
- 22 we go. I don't want to take up any other motions before
- then because I don't know what kind of case we have and
- 24 what's going on. And I want to give you an opportunity to
- get it done. So we'll push this out for a couple of weeks.

- 1 And I'm sure -- and I would ask you as well, Mr. Beatty, if
- there's any updates, if you will. I know that with respect
- 3 to what happens in the Texas courts, just -- I think just
- 4 providing for informational purposes. I mean it. I want no
- 5 spin on any of it. I mean, as boring as it comes, I am at
- 6 docket entry and I don't need whereas clauses, and I know I
- 7 have jurisdiction. I mean, where we are, just plain docket
- 8 entries. And I would ask the Connecticut plaintiffs to do
- 9 as well. Just the notice. Just so everybody knows what's
- 10 going on and everybody can just see and we all have
- 11 transparency in the process.
- MR. WILLIAMS: More than happy to do so.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. So that would push
- 14 out the hearing to Friday, June 10th at -- what time are we
- 15 -- we were going to start at 9:00 a.m. Why don't we start
- 16 at 9:00 a.m. on Friday, June 10th. And the witness and
- 17 exhibit list would then get pushed out to Wednesday, June
- 18 8th.
- MR. LEE: Yes.
- THE COURT: Okay. And the objection deadline,
- 21 what are we going to do about -- what are you all agreeing
- 22 on that?
- MR. LEE: We had talked about objection deadline
- of being a week before whenever the hearing is.
- THE COURT: So maybe June 3rd?

- 1 MR. LEE: So June 3rd.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. LEE: And then a reply deadline be the same
- 4 day as the witness and exhibit on the 8th.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. So I would ask June 8th at noon
- 6 exhibits, June 3rd, just any time on June 3rd for your
- 7 response, Mr. Lee.
- 8 MR. LEE: That's perfectly fine with the Debtor's,
- 9 Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: I don't care what time you get it on
- 11 file there.
- Mr. Ruff, your response is fine with me. I don't
- 13 care when you --
- MR. RUFF: We'll get it on file before close of
- 15 business, Your Honor. It won't be a midnight filling.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay.
- 17 MR. RUFF: We'll give Your Honor some time to read
- 18 it.
- 19 THE COURT: No, no. Old habits die hard. So I'm
- 20 up early and stay up late. So don't worry about me.
- I think we had a hearing scheduled for June 3rd.
- It probably makes sense to push that out, don't you think?
- MR. LEE: Could we move it all to the June 10th?
- THE COURT: Yeah.
- MR. RUFF: We agree with that, Your Honor.

- 1 THE COURT: What did we have on June --
- MR. LEE: Both the trustee motion, and I think the
- 3 CRO motion has been on a 21-day notice as well as the bar
- 4 date motion, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Oh yeah. I don't want to touch those
- 6 until we know what -- yeah. But I agree. We'll take them
- 7 up -- original plan. Take them up after we know on the
- 8 motion to dismiss.
- 9 MR. RUFF: We'll be ready to go, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. LEE: Your Honor?
- 12 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. LEE: I do want you to know that Mr. Schwartz
- 14 in his fiduciary capacity is evaluating all alternatives.
- 15 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sure he is.
- 16 MR. LEE: I just want you to know that.
- 17 THE COURT: No doubt. I've got no doubt. And I'm
- 18 sure -- I've got no doubt about that. I know Mr. Schwartz
- 19 is professional. He's doing his job. I've got no doubt
- 20 about it.
- MR. LEE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. Anyone in the courtroom,
- anything else anyone wishes to say at this time? Anyone on
- 24 the phone, if you wish to hit five-star.
- Okay. All right, folks. It sounds like we will

```
not see each other on the 27th. It looks like we will see
 1
     each other potentially on June 10th. Thanks, everyone.
 2
 3
     Have a good day.
 4
                (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at
 5
     2:28 PM)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Sonya M. dedarki Hyel-Sonya Ledanski Hyde Veritext Legal Solutions 330 Old Country Road Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 Date: May 23, 2022